FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2013 AND 2012

JUNE 30, 2013

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Gurbax Sahota Chair

Cindy Trobitz-Thomas Vice Chair

Larry Cope Secretary/Treasurer

Kevin Ham Member

D-B Heusser Member

Kathy Millison Member

Randy Starbuck Member

Bruce Stenslie Member

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3
Basic Financial Statements	
Statements of Net Position	5
Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position	6
Statements of Cash Flows	7
Notes to Financial Statements	8
Other Auditor's Report	
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	11



James Marta & Company LLP Certified Public Accountants

Accounting, Auditing, Consulting, and Tax

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Directors California Enterprise Development Authority Sacramento, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying Statement of Net Position of California Enterprise Development Authority (the Authority) as of June 30, 2013 and 2012 and the related Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position for the year then ended.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these basic financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the basic financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the basic financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the basic financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the basic financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the basic financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of California Enterprise Development Authority as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 3 - 4 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted principally of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

nes Marta + Company LLP

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated September 12, 2013 on our consideration of California Enterprise Development Authority internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering California Enterprise Development Authority internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

James Marta & Company LLP Certified Public Accountants

September 12, 2013

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

JUNE 30, 2013 AND 2012

Overview

This section of the California Enterprise Development Authority (CEDA) annual financial report presents management's discussion and analysis of its financial performance during the fiscal year that ended on June 30, 2013. It is being provided for the purpose of enhancing the information in the financial audit and should be reviewed in concert with that report.

CEDA is a joint powers authority created in 2006. The Authority has a governing Board of seven members who comprise the Executive Committee of the California Association for Local Economic Development (CALED) and the President/CEO of CALED. Public agency members of CEDA join to facilitate the issuance of private activity bonds for the purpose of economic and community development.

Guiding Principles for Budget Development

CEDA prepares a budget using the following principles to align its financial resources with its mission and organizational priorities:

- CEDA's financial and administrative activities are governed by means of a Management Agreement with CALED.
- Programs and services are funded within the limits of fee revenue derived from bond issuance.
- Two reserve funds (operating budget and legal) are budgeted and shown in the adopted budget. At the end of the year, excess funds may be committed to the reserves.

Financial Highlights

As noted in prior audits, CEDA has experienced uneven growth through the years. This is mainly due to the current bond environment, including the limited number of banks that are willing to provide letters of credit for potential projects. In the face of this unevenness though, CEDA has steadily increased its bond issuances. Fee Revenues in 2012-2013 increased from \$112,625 in 2012 to \$262,175 in 2013. As the economy slowly recovers, CEDA experienced a stable year with a continuous flow of projects.

This activity resulted in an increase in the Management Fees paid to CALED. This increase from 2012 was made to reimburse CALED for Gurbax Sahota's time spent on overseeing the Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) program as well as Michelle Stephens's time to shepherd transactions through the conduit bond process. Though the expenses increased from \$117,215 in 2012 to \$165,596 in 2013, the growth in revenue easily covered this increase. The revenue increase also allowed CEDA to contribute funds to economic development. The 2013 contribution to economic development was \$15,000 as compared to \$3,500 in 2012.

At the end of June 2013, our net assets were \$570,080 as compared with \$473,327 in 2012. We are pleased with this as it reveals CEDA's success and increased business in 2013.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

JUNE 30, 2013 AND 2012

Condensed Statement of Net Position			
	 2013	 2012	 2011
Current Assets	\$ 571,127	\$ 485,800	\$ 477,981
Current Liabilities	 1,047	 12,473	 300
Net Position, unrestricted	\$ 570,080	\$ 473,327	\$ 477,681
Condensed Statement of Activities			
	 2013	 2012	 2010
Revenues	\$ 262.349	\$ 112.861	\$ 827,779

Economic Factors Affecting the Future

Change in Net Position

Expenses

Primary sources of revenue are derived from application, issuance and annual fees. Primary expenditures are the Management Agreement with CALED, various direct expenses (such as travel), and contributions of excess funds to CALED for economic development purposes.

165,596

96,753

\$

The bond market in which CEDA operates continues to be impacted by the general economic downturn. Banks have been struggling with their own issues and have not been willing to provide a letter of credit needed for IDB issuances. Additionally, this business sector has been suffering from lower manufacturing demand and consequently has not been investing in large capital purchases like real estate and capital equipment.

Like last year, it is our hope to continue to grow our presence in the 501(c)(3) market as well as look for ways to expand CEDA's ability to issue into other areas. Additionally, we believe that our CA PACE program will start seeing successes and we will start issuing bonds to finance these projects as well.

419,920

407,859

\$

117,215

(4,354)

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

STATEMENTS OF NET POSITION

JUNE 30, 2013 AND 2012

	2013	2012
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 542,221	\$ 482,825
Accounts receivable	28,500	2,700
Prepaid expenses	406	275
Total assets	571,127_	485,800
LIABILITIES		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	-	28
Due to affiliated entity	1,047_	12,445
Total liabilities	1,047	12,473
NET POSITION		
Net position, unrestricted	\$ 570,080	\$ 473,327

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2013 AND 2012

	2013	2012
OPERATING REVENUES		
Fee revenues	\$ 262,175	\$ 112,625
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Management fees	125,000	87,000
Contribution to economic development	15,000	3,500
Direct program expenses	25,596	26,715
Total operating expenses	165,596	117,215
Operating income	96,579	(4,590)
NONOPERATING REVENUES		
Interest income	174	236
Change in net position	96,753	(4,354)
Net position, July 1	473,327	477,681
Net position, June 30	\$ 570,080	\$ 473,327

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2013 AND 2012

	2013	2012
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Cash receipts from fees charged	\$ 236,375	\$ 181,725
Cash paid for economic development	(151,398)	(75,083)
Cash paid for direct program expenses	(25,755)	(26,962)
Net cash provided by operating activities	59,222	79,680
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Interest income received	174	236
Increase in cash	59,396	79,916
Beginning cash and cash equivalents	482,825	402,909
Ending cash and cash equivalents	\$ 542,221	\$ 482,825
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash provided by		
operating activities:		
Operating income (loss)	\$ 96,579	\$ (4,590)
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to		
net cash provided by operating activities:		
Decrease (increase) in:		
Accounts receivable	(25,800)	69,400
Due from affiliated entity	-	2,972
Prepaid expenses	(131)	(275)
Increase (decrease) in:		
Accounts payable	(28)	28
Due to affiliated entities	(11,398)	12,445
Performance deposits		(300)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 59,222	\$ 79,680

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2013 AND 2012

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. REPORTING ENTITY

California Enterprise Development Authority (the "Authority") is a joint powers agency formed on June 1, 2006 pursuant to the provisions of Title I, Division 7, Chapter 5, Article I of the Government Code of the State of California. The purpose of the Authority is to assist the members, for-profit and non-profit organizations located within the jurisdictions of the members in financing industrial and commercial development projects and other public purpose projects.

The Authority issues conduit debt for the express purpose of providing capital financing for a specific third party that is not part of the Authority's financial reporting entity. Although the conduit debt obligations may bear the name of California Enterprise Development Authority, the Authority has no obligation for such debt beyond the resources provided by a lease or a loan with the third party on whose behalf they are issued.

B. BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The Authority is accounted for as an enterprise fund and its financial statements are prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when liabilities are incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services in connection with the Authority's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the Authority include application and issuance fees. Operating expenses of the Authority include the cost of providing the services, administrative expenses and management fees. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenue and expense.

The Authority presents its financial statements in accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 20, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Proprietary Funds and Other Governmental Entities That Use Proprietary Fund Accounting, and has elected not to apply Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statements and Interpretations issued after November 30, 1989.

C. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

The Authority considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash and cash equivalents.

D. INCOME TAXES

The Authority is a tax-exempt corporation under Section 115(1) of the Internal Revenue Code and Section 23701d of the California and Taxation Code. Accordingly, no provision for federal or state income taxes has been made in the accompanying financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2013 AND 2012

E. USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

2. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents as of June 30, 2013 and 2012 consisted of the following:

	2013	2012
Cash in banks	\$ 542,221	\$ 482,825

Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Authority's deposits may not be returned to it. Cash and cash equivalents include deposits with financial institutions. As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Authority's balances per the bank of \$542,233 and \$482,945, respectively, are entirely insured or collateralized. Section 53652 of the California Governmental Code requires financial institutions to secure deposits made by governmental units in excess of insured amounts, by the pledging of governmental securities as collateral. The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by governmental units.

3. PERFORMANCE DEPOSIT

Any organization applying for Industrial Development Bonds is required to submit a performance deposit to the Authority equal to 0.5% of the amount of the Qualified Private Activity Bond Allocation being requested, not to exceed \$100,000. Upon issuance of the Bonds, the state notifies the Authority who returns the performance deposit to the applicant. As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Authority was not holding any performance deposits.

4. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Management has reviewed its financial statements and evaluated subsequent events for the period of time from its year ended June 30, 2013 through September 12, 2013, the date the financial statements were issued. Management is not aware of any subsequent events that would require recognition or disclosure in the accompanying financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2013 AND 2012

5. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Joint Powers Agreement has designated California Association for Local Economic Development (CALED), a California nonprofit corporation, as the administrator and executor of the agreement. The agreement also designates the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors of CALED and the President of CALED as the Board of Directors of the Authority.

For the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the Authority entered into a management agreement with CALED, an affiliated entity, for an annual fee of \$125,000 and \$87,000, respectively. CALED provides administrative oversight, office space and other related expenses and services under the management agreement.

As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, there was a balance due to CALED in the amount of \$1,046 and \$12,445,respectively, which represents expenses paid by CALED on behalf of the Authority.

6. CONDUIT DEBT OBLIGATIONS

The Authority has issued Industrial Development Bonds to provide financial assistance to organizations for the acquisition and construction of industrial and commercial facilities deemed to be in the public interest. The bonds are secured by the property financed and are payable solely from payments received on the underlying mortgage loans. Upon repayment of the bonds, ownership of the acquired facilities transfers to the organization served by the bond issuance. The Authority is not obligated in any manner for repayment of the bonds. Accordingly, the bonds are not reported as liabilities in the accompanying financial statements.

As of June 30, 2013, the Authority had the following number of Conduit Bonds authorized, related authorized bond issue amount, related aggregate principal balance due as of June 30 and related fees charged:

	Number of	Dollar Amount of	Outstanding	
Fiscal Year	Bonds	Bonds	Principal	Issuance
Ended June 30:	Authorized	Authorized	Balance	Fees
2013	10	\$ 199,966,780	\$ 164,166,780	\$ 188,125
2012	4	56,250,000	55,823,636	60,625
2011	32	520,747,271	407,117,083	762,170
2010	7	73,684,455	59,509,526	137,886
2009	6	45,500,000	394,214,568	80,000
2008	13	188,192,839	172,451,287	297,680
2007	3	40,065,000	27,760,403	101,525
Totals	75	\$ 1,124,406,345	\$ 1,281,043,283	\$1,628,011

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION



James Marta & Company LLP Certified Public Accountants

Accounting, Auditing, Consulting, and Tax

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors California Enterprise Development Authority Sacramento, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the basic financial statements of California Enterprise Development Authority (the "Authority"), as of and for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 12, 2013.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Authority's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financials statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether California Enterprise Development Authority's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the board of directs, others within the entity, and the State Controller's Office and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

nes Marta + Company LLP

James Marta & Company LLP Certified Public Accountants

September 12, 2013